

Variational forms for systems of PDEs

Hans Petter Langtangen^{1,2}

¹Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory

²Department of Informatics, University of Oslo

Oct 16, 2015

PRELIMINARY VERSION

Contents

1 Variational forms	2
1.1 Sequence of scalar PDEs formulation	2
1.2 Vector PDE formulation	2
2 A worked example	3
3 Identical function spaces for the unknowns	3
3.1 Variational form of each individual PDE	4
3.2 Compound scalar variational form	4
3.3 Decoupled linear systems	5
3.4 Coupled linear systems	6
4 Different function spaces for the unknowns	7
5 Computations in 1D	8
References	9
Index	11

Many mathematical models involve $m + 1$ unknown functions governed by a system of $m + 1$ differential equations. In abstract form we may denote the unknowns by $u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}$ and write the governing equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0(u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{L}_m(u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}_i is some differential operator defining differential equation number i .

1 Variational forms

There are basically two ways of formulating a variational form for a system of differential equations. The first method treats each equation independently as a scalar equation, while the other method views the total system as a vector equation with a vector function as unknown.

1.1 Sequence of scalar PDEs formulation

Let us start with the approach that treats one equation at a time. We multiply equation number i by some test function $v^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}$ and integrate over the domain:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}^{(0)}(u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}) v^{(0)} dx = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\vdots \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}) v^{(m)} dx = 0. \quad (3)$$

Terms with second-order derivatives may be integrated by parts, with Neumann conditions inserted in boundary integrals. Let

$$V^{(i)} = \text{span}\{\psi_0^{(i)}, \dots, \psi_{N_i}^{(i)}\},$$

such that

$$u^{(i)} = B^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} c_j^{(i)} \psi_j^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $B^{(i)}$ is a boundary function to handle nonzero Dirichlet conditions. Observe that different unknowns live in different spaces with different basis functions and numbers of degrees of freedom.

From the m equations in the variational forms we can derive m coupled systems of algebraic equations for the $\Pi_{i=0}^m N_i$ unknown coefficients $c_j^{(i)}$, $j = 0, \dots, N_i$, $i = 0, \dots, m$.

1.2 Vector PDE formulation

The alternative method for deriving a variational form for a system of differential equations introduces a vector of unknown functions

$$\mathbf{u} = (u^{(0)}, \dots, u^{(m)}),$$

a vector of test functions

$$\mathbf{v} = (v^{(0)}, \dots, v^{(m)}),$$

with

$$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} = V^{(0)} \times \dots \times V^{(m)}.$$

With nonzero Dirichlet conditions, we have a vector $\mathbf{B} = (B^{(0)}, \dots, B^{(m)})$ with boundary functions and then it is $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{B}$ that lies in \mathbf{V} , not \mathbf{u} itself.

The governing system of differential equations is written

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}) = (\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}), \dots, \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(\mathbf{u})).$$

The variational form is derived by taking the inner product of the vector of equations and the test function vector:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}. \quad (4)$$

Observe that (4) is one scalar equation. To derive systems of algebraic equations for the unknown coefficients in the expansions of the unknown functions, one chooses m linearly independent \mathbf{v} vectors to generate m independent variational forms from (4). The particular choice $\mathbf{v} = (v^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0)$ recovers (1), $\mathbf{v} = (0, \dots, 0, v^{(m)})$ recovers (3), and $\mathbf{v} = (0, \dots, 0, v^{(i)}, 0, \dots, 0)$ recovers the variational form number i , $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}^{(i)} v^{(i)} dx = 0$, in (1)-(3).

2 A worked example

We now consider a specific system of two partial differential equations in two space dimensions:

$$\mu \nabla^2 w = -\beta, \quad (5)$$

$$\kappa \nabla^2 T = -\mu \|\nabla w\|^2. \quad (6)$$

The unknown functions $w(x, y)$ and $T(x, y)$ are defined in a domain Ω , while μ , β , and κ are given constants. The norm in (6) is the standard Euclidean norm:

$$\|\nabla w\|^2 = \nabla w \cdot \nabla w = w_x^2 + w_y^2.$$

The boundary conditions associated with (5)-(6) are $w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $T = T_0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Each of the equations (5) and (6) needs one condition at each point on the boundary.

The system (5)-(6) arises from fluid flow in a straight pipe, with the z axis in the direction of the pipe. The domain Ω is a cross section of the pipe, w is the velocity in the z direction, μ is the viscosity of the fluid, β is the pressure gradient along the pipe, T is the temperature, and κ is the heat conduction coefficient of the fluid. The equation (5) comes from the Navier-Stokes equations, and (6) follows from the energy equation. The term $-\mu \|\nabla w\|^2$ models heating of the fluid due to internal friction.

Observe that the system (5)-(6) has only a one-way coupling: T depends on w , but w does not depend on T , because we can solve (5) with respect to w and then (6) with respect to T . Some may argue that this is not a real system of PDEs, but just two scalar PDEs. Nevertheless, the one-way coupling is convenient when comparing different variational forms and different implementations.

3 Identical function spaces for the unknowns

Let us first apply the same function space V for w and T (or more precisely, $w \in V$ and $T - T_0 \in V$). With

$$V = \text{span}\{\psi_0(x, y), \dots, \psi_N(x, y)\},$$

we write

$$w = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j^{(w)} \psi_j, \quad T = T_0 + \sum_{j=0}^N c_j^{(T)} \psi_j. \quad (7)$$

Note that w and T in (5)-(6) denote the exact solution of the PDEs, while w and T (7) are the discrete functions that approximate the exact solution. It should be clear from the context whether a symbol means the exact or approximate solution, but when we need both at the same time, we use a subscript e to denote the exact solution.

3.1 Variational form of each individual PDE

Inserting the expansions (7) in the governing PDEs, results in a residual in each equation,

$$R_w = \mu \nabla^2 w + \beta, \quad (8)$$

$$R_T = \kappa \nabla^2 T + \mu \|\nabla w\|^2. \quad (9)$$

A Galerkin method demands R_w and R_T do be orthogonal to V :

$$\int_{\Omega} R_w v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in V,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} R_T v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Because of the Dirichlet conditions, $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. We integrate the Laplace terms by parts and note that the boundary terms vanish since $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V, \quad (10)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla T \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla w v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (11)$$

3.2 Compound scalar variational form

The alternative way of deriving the variational form is to introduce a test vector function $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} = V \times V$ and take the inner product of \mathbf{v} and the residuals, integrated over the domain:

$$\int_{\Omega} (R_w, R_T) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}.$$

With $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1)$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (R_w v_0 + R_T v_1) \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}.$$

Integrating the Laplace terms by parts results in

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v_0 + \kappa \nabla T \cdot \nabla v_1) dx = \int_{\Omega} (\beta v_0 + \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla w v_1) dx, \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{V}. \quad (12)$$

Choosing $v_0 = v$ and $v_1 = 0$ gives the variational form (10), while $v_0 = 0$ and $v_1 = v$ gives (11).

With the inner product notation, $(p, q) = \int_{\Omega} pq dx$, we can alternatively write (10) and (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \nabla w, \nabla v) &= (\beta, v) \quad \forall v \in V, \\ (\kappa \nabla T, \nabla v) &= (\mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla w, v) \quad \forall v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

or since μ and κ are considered constant,

$$\mu(\nabla w, \nabla v) = (\beta, v) \quad \forall v \in V, \quad (13)$$

$$\kappa(\nabla T, \nabla v) = \mu(\nabla w \cdot \nabla w, v) \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (14)$$

3.3 Decoupled linear systems

The linear systems governing the coefficients $c_j^{(w)}$ and $c_j^{(T)}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$, are derived by inserting the expansions (7) in (10) and (11), and choosing $v = \psi_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$. The result becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(w)} c_j^{(w)} = b_i^{(w)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(T)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \quad (16)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w)} = \mu(\nabla \psi_j, \nabla \psi_i), \quad (17)$$

$$b_i^{(w)} = (\beta, \psi_i), \quad (18)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(T)} = \kappa(\nabla \psi_j, \nabla \psi_i), \quad (19)$$

$$b_i^{(T)} = \mu \left(\left(\sum_j c_j^{(w)} \nabla \psi_j \right) \cdot \left(\sum_k c_k^{(w)} \nabla \psi_k \right), \psi_i \right). \quad (20)$$

It can also be instructive to write the linear systems using matrices and vectors. Define K as the matrix corresponding to the Laplace operator ∇^2 . That is, $K_{i,j} = (\nabla \psi_j, \nabla \psi_i)$. Let us introduce the vectors

$$b^{(w)} = (b_0^{(w)}, \dots, b_N^{(w)}),$$

$$b^{(T)} = (b_0^{(T)}, \dots, b_N^{(T)}),$$

$$c^{(w)} = (c_0^{(w)}, \dots, c_N^{(w)}),$$

$$c^{(T)} = (c_0^{(T)}, \dots, c_N^{(T)}).$$

The system (15)-(16) can now be expressed in matrix-vector form as

$$\mu K c^{(w)} = b^{(w)}, \quad (21)$$

$$\kappa K c^{(T)} = b^{(T)}. \quad (22)$$

We can solve the first system for $c^{(w)}$, and then the right-hand side $b^{(T)}$ is known such that we can solve the second system for $c^{(T)}$.

3.4 Coupled linear systems

Despite the fact that w can be computed first, without knowing T , we shall now pretend that w and T enter a two-way coupling such that we need to derive the algebraic equations as *one system* for all the unknowns $c_j^{(w)}$ and $c_j^{(T)}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$. This system is nonlinear in $c_j^{(w)}$ because of the $\nabla w \cdot \nabla w$ product. To remove this nonlinearity, imagine that we introduce an iteration method where we replace $\nabla w \cdot \nabla w$ by $\nabla w_- \cdot \nabla w$, w_- being the w computed in the previous iteration. Then the term $\nabla w_- \cdot \nabla w$ is linear in w since w_- is known. The total linear system becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(w,w)} c_j^{(w)} + \sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(w,T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(w)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \quad (23)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(T,w)} c_j^{(w)} + \sum_{j=0}^N A_{i,j}^{(T,T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(T)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \quad (24)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w,w)} = \mu(\nabla \psi_j, \psi_i), \quad (25)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w,T)} = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$b_i^{(w)} = (\beta, \psi_i), \quad (27)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(T,w)} = \mu((\nabla \psi w_-) \cdot \nabla \psi_j, \psi_i), \quad (28)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(T,T)} = \kappa(\nabla \psi_j, \psi_i), \quad (29)$$

$$b_i^{(T)} = 0. \quad (30)$$

This system can alternatively be written in matrix-vector form as

$$\mu K c^{(w)} = b^{(w)}, \quad (31)$$

$$L c^{(w)} + \kappa K c^{(T)} = 0, \quad (32)$$

with L as the matrix from the $\nabla w_- \cdot \nabla$ operator: $L_{i,j} = A_{i,j}^{(w,T)}$.

The matrix-vector equations are often conveniently written in block form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu K & 0 \\ L & \kappa K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c^{(w)} \\ c^{(T)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b^{(w)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Note that in the general case where all unknowns enter all equations, we have to solve the compound system (23)-(24) since then we cannot utilize the special property that (15) does not involve T and can be solved first.

When the viscosity depends on the temperature, the $\mu \nabla^2 w$ term must be replaced by $\nabla \cdot (\mu(T) \nabla w)$, and then T enters the equation for w . Now we have a two-way coupling since

both equations contain w and T and therefore must be solved simultaneously. The equation $\nabla \cdot (\mu(T)\nabla w) = -\beta$ is nonlinear, and if some iteration procedure is invoked, where we use a previously computed T_- in the viscosity ($\mu(T_-)$), the coefficient is known, and the equation involves only one unknown, w . In that case we are back to the one-way coupled set of PDEs.

We may also formulate our PDE system as a vector equation. To this end, we introduce the vector of unknowns $\mathbf{u} = (u^{(0)}, u^{(1)})$, where $u^{(0)} = w$ and $u^{(1)} = T$. We then have

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu^{-1}\beta \\ -\kappa^{-1}\mu\nabla u^{(0)} \cdot \nabla u^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

4 Different function spaces for the unknowns

It is easy to generalize the previous formulation to the case where $w \in V^{(w)}$ and $T \in V^{(T)}$, where $V^{(w)}$ and $V^{(T)}$ can be different spaces with different numbers of degrees of freedom. For example, we may use quadratic basis functions for w and linear for T . Approximation of the unknowns by different finite element spaces is known as *mixed finite element methods*.

We write

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(w)} &= \text{span}\{\psi_0^{(w)}, \dots, \psi_{N_w}^{(w)}\}, \\ V^{(T)} &= \text{span}\{\psi_0^{(T)}, \dots, \psi_{N_T}^{(T)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to multiply (5) by a test function $v^{(w)} \in V^{(w)}$ and (6) by a $v^{(T)} \in V^{(T)}$, integrate by parts and arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v^{(w)} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta v^{(w)} \, dx \quad \forall v^{(w)} \in V^{(w)}, \quad (33)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla T \cdot \nabla v^{(T)} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v^{(T)} \, dx \quad \forall v^{(T)} \in V^{(T)}. \quad (34)$$

The compound scalar variational formulation applies a test vector function $\mathbf{v} = (v^{(w)}, v^{(T)})$ and reads

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v^{(w)} + \kappa \nabla T \cdot \nabla v^{(T)}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\beta v^{(w)} + \mu \nabla w \cdot \nabla v^{(T)}) \, dx, \quad (35)$$

valid $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} = V^{(w)} \times V^{(T)}$.

The associated linear system is similar to (15)-(16) or (23)-(24), except that we need to distinguish between $\psi_i^{(w)}$ and $\psi_i^{(T)}$, and the range in the sums over j must match the number of degrees of freedom in the spaces $V^{(w)}$ and $V^{(T)}$. The formulas become

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_w} A_{i,j}^{(w)} c_j^{(w)} = b_i^{(w)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N_w, \quad (36)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_T} A_{i,j}^{(T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(T)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N_T, \quad (37)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w)} = \mu(\nabla \psi_j^{(w)}, \psi_i^{(w)}), \quad (38)$$

$$b_i^{(w)} = (\beta, \psi_i^{(w)}), \quad (39)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(T)} = \kappa(\nabla \psi_j^{(T)}, \psi_i^{(T)}), \quad (40)$$

$$b_i^{(T)} = \mu(\nabla w_-, \psi_i^{(T)}). \quad (41)$$

In the case we formulate one compound linear system involving both $c_j^{(w)}$, $j = 0, \dots, N_w$, and $c_j^{(T)}$, $j = 0, \dots, N_T$, (23)-(24) becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_w} A_{i,j}^{(w,w)} c_j^{(w)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_T} A_{i,j}^{(w,T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(w)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N_w, \quad (42)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_w} A_{i,j}^{(T,w)} c_j^{(w)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_T} A_{i,j}^{(T,T)} c_j^{(T)} = b_i^{(T)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N_T, \quad (43)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w,w)} = \mu(\nabla \psi_j^{(w)}, \psi_i^{(w)}), \quad (44)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w,T)} = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$b_i^{(w)} = (\beta, \psi_i^{(w)}), \quad (46)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(w,T)} = \mu(\nabla w_- \cdot \nabla \psi_j^{(w)}, \psi_i^{(T)}), \quad (47)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{(T,T)} = \kappa(\nabla \psi_j^{(T)}, \psi_i^{(T)}), \quad (48)$$

$$b_i^{(T)} = 0. \quad (49)$$

The corresponding block form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu K^{(w)} & 0 \\ L & \kappa K^{(T)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c^{(w)} \\ c^{(T)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b^{(w)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

has square and rectangular block matrices: $K^{(w)}$ is $N_w \times N_w$, $K^{(T)}$ is $N_T \times N_T$, while L is $N_T \times N_w$,

5 Computations in 1D

We can reduce the system (5)-(6) to one space dimension, which corresponds to flow in a channel between two flat plates. Alternatively, one may consider flow in a circular pipe, introduce cylindrical coordinates, and utilize the radial symmetry to reduce the equations to a one-dimensional problem in the radial coordinate. The former model becomes

$$\mu w_{xx} = -\beta, \quad (50)$$

$$\kappa T_{xx} = -\mu w_x^2, \quad (51)$$

while the model in the radial coordinate r reads

$$\mu \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dw}{dr} \right) = -\beta, \quad (52)$$

$$\kappa \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dT}{dr} \right) = -\mu \left(\frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2. \quad (53)$$

The domain for (50)-(51) is $\Omega = [0, H]$, with boundary conditions $w(0) = w(H) = 0$ and $T(0) = T(H) = T_0$. For (52)-(53) the domain is $[0, R]$ (R being the radius of the pipe) and the boundary conditions are $du/dr = dT/dr = 0$ for $r = 0$, $u(R) = 0$, and $T(R) = T_0$.

Calculations to be continued...

References

Index

mixed finite elements, 7